Designing effective feedback processes

Naomi Winstone, University of Surrey, UK © DocWinstone David Carless, University of Hong Kong © CarlessDavid

#UQFAN: 10 February 2020









Overview

- 1. From transmission to engagement
- 2. Example design with a large class
- 3. Teacher & student feedback literacy
- 4. Implications for research & practice

The dominance of transmission

Effectiveness of feedback more likely to be judged on student satisfaction than student learning

Winstone & Boud (2019)



TEF submissions and L&T strategies have a dominant transmission focus

Winstone (in prep)



Educators predominantly view their responsibility to give, and the responsibility of their students to receive, comments

Winstone, Pitt, & Nash (in prep)

Limits of 'Teacher-telling'

Students are not well-equipped to decode or act on unilateral teacher transmission of information (Sadler, 2010)



The challenge of transmission



From transmission to transformation

Winstone & Carless (2019)



Information \rightarrow action



(Winstone & Carless, 2019)

Recipience processes

FEEDBACK RECIPIENCE SKILLS

WINSTONE ET AL. (2017)



Developing recipience skills

EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2019.1693510



Check for updates



Naomi E. Winstone^a (), Erica G. Hepper^b () and Robert A. Nash^c ()

^aDepartment of Higher Education, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK; ^bSchool of Psychology, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK; ^cSchool of Health and Life Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham, UK

"Supporting students to feel competent in using feedback should be a key priority for interventions."

Developing these skills





Social constructivism

Action on feedback is developed through learner agency, meaning-making & co-construction



EXAMPLE FROM CHAPTER 4

PSYCHOLOGY OF HEALTH BEHAVIOR – 1500 STUDENTS

DEAKIN UNIVERSITY



Check for updates

Implementing summative assessment with a formative flavour: a case study in a large class

Jaclyn Broadbent^a, Ernesto Panadero^b 💿 and David Boud^a

^aCentre for Research on Assessment and Digital Learning, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia; ^bDepartamento de Psicología Evolutiva y de la Educación, Facultad de Psicología, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

ABSTRACT

Teaching a large class can present real challenges in design, management and standardisation of assessment practices. One of the main dilemmas for university teachers is how to implement effective formative assessment practices with accompanying high-quality feedback consistently over time with large classroom groups. This article reports on how elements of formative practices can be implemented as part of summative assessment in very large undergraduate cohorts (*n* = 1500 in one semester), studying in different modes (on- and off-campus), with multiple markers, and under common cost and time constraints. Design features implemented include the use of exemplars, rubrics and audio feedback. The article draws on the reflections of the leading teacher, and argues that, for summative assessment to benefit learners, it should contain formative assessment elements. The teaching practices utilised in the case study provide some means to resolve the tensions between formative assessment and summative assessment that may be more generally applicable.

KEYWORDS

Formative assessment; summative assessment; large classes; audio feedback; exemplars

Assessment design

Online quizzes 10%

Three linked journal entries 45%

Final exam 45% (applying knowledge learnt in journals)

Aligned assessment design

3 journal entries where students produce 2 minute video & reflect on their health behavior changes (800 words)



Criteria & exemplars

Video explanation of rubric

Online exemplar

+



3 forms of feedback

1. Grade aligned with rubric

2. Written explanation linked to LOs

3. 5 minute audio file (25 sessional staff)

Audio feedback

Timely: 7 days before next entry is due

Enables rapport & nuance



You can talk faster than you can write

<u>Analysis</u>



Task sequence enables feedback uptake



Use of audio feedback



Mainly 'Teacher-telling'

AND SOME ALTERNATIVES ...

Audio peer feedback

Activating student evaluative judgment

Comparing own work with that of peers

(Filius et al., 2019)



Training & coaching

Train & coach students in how to carry out peer feedback (Min, chapter 8)



Composing peer feedback

Providing feedback more cognitively engaging than receiving feedback (e.g. Nicol et al., 2014)



Cumulative peer feedback

Need for multiple cumulative experiences of peer review during a programme (Harland, Wald & Randhawa 2017).



SHARED RESPONSIBILITIES IN DEVELOPING TEACHER & STUDENT FEEDBACK LITERACY

Feedback literacy

Know-how about how to maximise the benefits of feedback processes



Shared responsibilities

Shared responsibilities in the giving & processing feedback (Nash & Winstone, 2017)



Defining teacher feedback literacy

Capacities in designing feedback to enable student uptake & seed the development of student feedback literacy

(Carless & Winstone, in progress)

Designing for Uptake

Relational Sensitivities

Managing Practicalities

Teacher Feedback Literacy

Student Feedback Literacy

Student feedback literacy



(Carless & Boud, 2018)

Embedding within the curriculum?



Designing Effective Feedback Processes in Higher Education

SRHE

Social Psychology

'Receiving Feedback' theme

DEFT Feedback Workshop

Assignment: Apply social psychological theories to analyse their own responses to feedback Taking feedback out of its educational context, does the concept of feedback hold any particular meaning or relevance that is specific to your discipline?

Can you think of any ways in which you could explain to students the importance of acting upon feedback, using concepts specific to their discipline of study?

Embedding feedback literacy



Winstone, Balloo, & Carless (in prep)

Designing for uptake



Encouraging feedback-seeking



How can you encourage students to seek feedback on specific elements of their development?

Designing for uptake



Giving feedback 'somewhere to land'



How can you facilitate students to revisit prior feedback when working on another relevant task or skill?

Designing for uptake

Winstone & Carless (2019)

1. Task series



Students complete a series of similar tasks (for example, a series of lab reports), where each cycle of feedback enables them to apply comments to the next iteration of the task.

3. Draft-plus-rework



Students receive detailed comments on a draft assignment. When students submit the final assignment, a portion of the grade is reserved for evidence that they have used the feedback from the draft (for example, by completing a written reflection on their feedback use). 2. Two-part tasks



Students undertake a first task (for example, a presentation), followed by a feedback process whereby they use the feedback to inform a second, related task (for example, a written report).

4. Pre-task guidance



Students are given the opportunity to engage with rubrics, criteria, and/or exemplar assignments before completing their own assignment. This dialogue with peers and teachers serves as pre-task feedback that informs the approach they take.

Winstone, N., & Carless, D. (2019). Designing effective feedback processes in higher education: A learning-focused approach. London: Routledge.

Implications



Implications for...



Practice

Research

Recommendations

Design for student uptake

Minimise teacher telling

Involve students actively

Mutual development of feedback literacy

SRHE Society for Research into Higher Education

Designing Effective Feedback Processes in Higher Education

A LEARNING-FOCUSED APPROACH



DISCOUNT CODE: FLR40

References

- Carless, D. & Boud, D. (2018). The development of student feedback literacy: Enabling uptake of feedback. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2018.1463354
- Filius, R. et al. (2019). Audio peer feedback to promote deep learning in online education. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/jcal.12363
- Harland, T., N. Wald, and H. Randhawa. (2017). Student peer review: Enhancing formative feedback with a rebuttal. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* 42 (5): 801-811.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112.
- Nash, R. & Winstone, N. (2017). Responsibility-sharing in the giving and receiving of assessment feedback. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8: 1519. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01519
- Nicol, D., Thomson, A., & Breslin, C. (2014). Rethinking feedback practices in higher education: A peer review perspective. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 39(1), 102-122.
- Sadler, D. R. (2010). Beyond feedback: Developing student capacity in complex appraisal. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 35*(5), 35-50.
- Winstone, N. & Boud, D. (2019). Exploring cultures of feedback practice: the adoption of learningfocused feedback practices in the UK and Australia. *Higher Education Research and Development, 38*(2), 411-425.
- Winstone, N., Nash, R., Parker, M., & Rowntree, J. (2017). Supporting learners' agentic engagement with feedback: A systematic review and a taxonomy of recipience processes. *Educational Psychologist*, *52*, 17-37.

THANK YOU